UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

1

| United States of America, | )                      |
|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Plaintiff                 | }                      |
| VS.                       | ) CASE NO. 3:18-CR-097 |
| Ross Roggio,              | )                      |
| Defendant                 | )                      |
|                           | )                      |

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS MOTION TO MODIFY CONDITIONS OF PRETRIAL RELEASE
BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT D. MARIANI
TUESDAY, AUGUST 7, 2018
SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA

## FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

Melinda C. Ghilardi, AFPD Federal Public Defender's Office 201 Lackawanna Avenue Suite 317 Scranton, PA 18503-1953

## FOR THE DEFENDANT:

Todd K. Hinkley, AUSA Jenny Roberts, AUSA William J. Nealon Federal Building Suite 311 234 North Washington Avenue Scranton, PA 18501-2830

> DIANA GILBRIDE, RMR, FCRR FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER P.O. BOX G SCRANTON, PA 18501-0090

2 (11:09 a.m., convene.) 1 2 THE COURT: Good morning everyone. 3 MS. GHILARDI: Good morning, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: This is the matter of the United States 5 of America versus Ross Roggio. Before me is a motion for 6 hearing, which that aspect of the motion I've granted, that's 7 why we're all here today. And the subject of the hearing is to 8 modify the conditions of pretrial release, as I understand it, to allow Mr. Roggio to engage in international travel, is that 9 right Ms. Ghilardi? 10 11 MS. GHILARDI: Yes, your Honor, it is. 12 THE COURT: All right. I'll hear the basis for your 13 motion, if you wish. 14 MS. GHILARDI: Yes, your Honor. Thank you very much 15 for the opportunity, I appreciate it. As I indicated in my motion, your Honor, my client has been released on pretrial 16 17 l services since April 3rd of this year. And on May 25 we filed an unopposed motion to modify the conditions of release to 18I 19 permit the electronic monitoring portion of his conditions to 20 be relaxed, and that was by agreement of the parties. 21 since May 25 of this year Mr. Roggio has no longer been on 22 electronic monitoring. 23 He does still have a travel restriction, which restricts his travel to the Middle District of Pennsylvania, so 24 25 he is not permitted to travel within the United States without

3 permission and international travel. I believe that the 2 probation office is not in a position to authorize international travel. International travel would have to be 3 4 approved by your Honor. 5 Since my client was charged he has not worked. 6 he now has the opportunity, he has an employment opportunity, 7 your Honor, with Kingdom Special Operations Group. And I have 8 a letter of engagement from the CEO of that company, Roger I've provided a copy of that letter to Mr. Hinkley and 9 10 Ms. Roberts this morning, and I am going to provide a copy to 11 your Honor as well, if I may. 12 THE COURT: Certainly. 13 MS. GHILARDI: This employment opportunity, your 14 Honor, would require my client to travel within the United 15 States, as well as internationally. And in the interest of full disclosure and proceeding perhaps as orderly as we 16 17 l possibly can in this matter, I have chosen to come to the court at the outset before my client accepts this employment 18I 19 opportunity. 20

THE COURT: A wise move.

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GHILARDI: Excuse me?

THE COURT: A wise move.

MS. GHILARDI: Thank you, your Honor. And so the vast majority of Mr. Roggio's work would be done here in the Middle District of Pennsylvania from his home. But Kingdom

Special Operations Group is based in Las Vegas, Nevada, so he would have to travel there on occasion. And he would also have to travel internationally.

Basically what my client will be doing for this company, your Honor, is new business development. The owner of this -- the CEO of this company, Mr. Flores, is someone whom my client has known for eight to ten years. And although they have never worked together before, they have worked -- done parallel work. So, they are very familiar with each other.

Mr. Flores comes highly decorated. He is a retired three-star general. He is a former supervisor with the Department of Homeland Security. And he is a former employee of the CIA. The Kingdom Special Operations group, what Mr. Roggio would be doing with them is new business development for logistics, humanitarian relief and medical supplies.

Mr. Roggio has developed over the years a great skill at negotiating and closing business deals. And it is that particular skill that Mr. Flores is seeking to add to his company at this particular point in time.

Mr. Flores is well aware of Mr. Roggio's criminal indictment. In fact, in the subject matter of the letter that I have just provided your Honor and the government, Mr. Flores specifically cites the criminal case number. And Mr. Flores indicates in this letter that his company, Kingdom Special Operations Group, provides services and sales to foreign

governments and private businesses worldwide.

Mr. Flores has indicated that he would put into place several safeguards -- and they are listed at -- four items here in this letter, your Honor -- safeguards to ensure that Mr. Roggio returns and appears at all court appearances as required.

The first provision that Mr. Flores has put into place is that his company will provide transportation resources reasonably necessary to ensure that Mr. Roggio reports for all court dates scheduled and notified in a reasonable manner. The second is that Mr. Flores will withhold any compensation due impending upon condition of Mr. Roggio's full compliance with the assurances. Due and pending in as much as Mr. Roggio will be paid on a transactional basis at the conclusion of each engaged -- each engagement assigned.

No. 3. The company would arrange and require Mr. Roggio as a condition of engagement with Kingdom Special Operations to identify and report his location no less than every 12 hours while performing work for Kingdom to any point of contact so designated. That would I think be the probation office.

And Kingdom Special Operations has indicated that they would limit Mr. Roggio's travel in and over only those countries which maintain active extradition treatise with the United States. So, they are the conditions that Kingdom is --

is offering the Court in order to assure Mr. Roggio's appearance in court as required.

10I

18I

Although Mr. Flores was not able to be here today, your Honor, he is available by telephone should the Court have any questions for Mr. Flores; I am able to contact him by telephone.

As I indicated, Mr. Roggio's work would begin immediately, and his primary work would be at home and in Las Vegas. He would attend meetings, financial negotiations and contract. He would be involved in contract negotiations. Mr. Roggio is willing to provide a travel itinerary in advance of travel, and he is willing to come to the probation office to pick up his passport from the United States probation officer and then return his passport to the United States probation officer when the job is done until the next time he is required to travel internationally.

As far as risk of flight is concerned, your Honor, because risk of flight and danger to the community, I understand that they are the two areas that the Court is required to consider under the Bail Reform Act. Mr. Roggio has elderly parents who are here in court with him this morning. His mother is 76 years old and his father is 93 years old. He currently lives with both of his parents.

In fact, he relocated years ago from North Carolina where he had been living for a number of years to the Middle

17 l

18I

District of Pennsylvania to the Stroudsburg area so that he can be with his parents in their later years. If he were to flee, he would never see them again. And that would be a real problem for him.

Mr. Roggio also has two daughters, your Honor. They live in Hickory, North Carolina. They are ages 12 and ten.

And if he were to flee, he would never -- he would never see them again either. I do not believe that Mr. Roggio's parents, nor his daughters, have passports.

So, as far as risk of flight is concerned, Mr. Roggio has a great deal to lose, your Honor, if he would -- if he would flee.

His primary objective at this point is to get back to work. It has been a number of months since he was arrested, and he has not been able to work. And that is a -- that is a hardship, your Honor. I think it's a hardship for anybody who is not able to work. And the skills and the experience that he has are in this field of contract negotiations and closing business deals. So, it's very difficult to secure that type of work in the Middle District of Pennsylvania. Certainly he can work from his home office the vast majority of the time. But there is some travel within the Continental United States, as well as outside the Continental United States.

Before I close my argument, your Honor, I would just like to ask my client if he has anything else that I should

```
8
   add, if I may?
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Please.
 3
              (Whereupon, Ms. Ghilardi conferred with her client.)
 4
                            Thank you, your Honor. Does your
             MS. GHILARDI:
 51
   Honor have any questions for me at this juncture?
 6
             THE COURT:
                         I do, I do. Do you know to what
 7
   countries Mr. Roggio would travel?
 8
             MS. GHILARDI:
                            I believe at this point, your Honor,
 9
   at this point your Honor we're talking about Turkey and the
10
   European Union. Possibly India.
11
             THE COURT: All right. And do you know why this
12
   particular organization, Kingdom Special Operations, has seen
13
   fit to indicate at the bottom of each page of this two-page
14
   purported agreement or proposed agreement, why they've seen fit
15
   to include this statement: All military equipment in part or
   in whole are strictly regulated by the United States Department
16
17 l
   of State in accordance with the guidelines in the International
   Traffic in Arms, parentheses, ITAR, close paren, per Title 22,
18I
19
   Code of Federal Regulations, parts 120-130. Therefore, if you
20
   are outside of the United States, including Canada, an expert
21
   license must be obtained. All sales and shipping are subject
   to license approval by U.S. Department of State, end quote.
22
23
             Do you know why that statement is included at the
24
   bottom of each of these pages?
25
             MS. GHILARDI: So, this is their standard letterhead,
```

10I

17 l

18 I

your Honor. And I believe that it is included because that may be a -- military equipment may be a subset of their business. That is not the subset of the business that Mr. Roggio will be involved in, but this is their general letterhead that they use for all operations. So, therefore, they feel the need to have that information at the bottom of their letterhead.

THE COURT: I can, and I do draw the inference from that paragraph that this particular company, Kingdom Special Operations, is in -- at least in part in the sale of arms business. Now, if you think I'm incorrect I'd like to have you tell me so.

MS. GHILARDI: No, I believe that is correct. I believe that is a subset of their business, your Honor. But as I indicated --

THE COURT: I don't see -- I don't see in this particular offer of employment or recapitulation of what the offer of employment is to Mr. Roggio, I don't see an actual statement of what his duties would be. Do I?

MS. GHILARDI: No, your Honor. But he will be doing new business development.

THE COURT: I guess the question is what kind of business is that? I don't have any assurances -- I don't have any assurances that he won't be using his considerable although at least allegedly unlawful experience to return to the arms industry or the arms sale industry which is the subject of the

indictment obviously.

**I** 

MS. GHILARDI: I understand the Court's concern, your Honor. My understanding is that he will be involved in logistics, humanitarian relief and medical supplies. However, I'm sure if the Court would like, I could get clarification on all of that from Mr. Flores. And ask Mr. Flores to provide a supplemental conditions of engagement letter.

THE COURT: Let's hear from the United States.

MR. HINKLEY: Thank you, your Honor. I believe the government shares the concern of the Court. And as a primary matter, we wonder about a business that is in seemingly the arms export business, who would be willing to hire someone under indictment for violation of those statutes. It seems to me not a very smart thing to do. And so that makes me wonder about this company and what's going on with this.

We would suggest to the Court that maybe a defendant needs to have a more modest expectation of employment. There are plenty of good jobs within the Middle District of Pennsylvania.

In regards to our issue here, our issue is the question of whether he is a danger of risk of flight, and we would suggest that he is. Among the various crimes for which he is charged, there are a number of wire fraud charges. And it is shown in the indictment that several million dollars were wired to accounts controlled by Mr. Roggio.

I will state that the investigation has looked into the finances, and we are not able to identify where all of that money went. So, we are concerned that there are assets out there that we don't know about. We are concerned that he will basically be unsupervised traveling internationally to apparently Turkey, among other places.

And though his itinerary may not include areas or countries which we would be able to extradite, certainly if he has assets he can get there once he is out of the United States.

Now, beyond that, Mr. Roggio has a concerning history, including an incident where he claims to have been kidnapped in Iraq and sought the assistance of the United States Government to extract him from that country. He claimed that he had his passport stolen and the United States issued -- the Department of State I believe issued an emergency passport to get him out of the country.

When Mr. Roggio arrived in the United States on February 26 of 2017 he was questioned by the United States Customs and Border Protection.

And I want to read excerpts from that interview that they performed with him on that day.

It is noted that the interview occurred at the John F. Kennedy International Airport, where Mr. Roggio had debarked from a Turkish Airlines flight from Arbil, Iraq via Istanbul,

18I

Turkey. Mr. Roggio was referred to secondary, where he was interviewed by an agent. He was issued a temporary United States passport and also possessed a Pennsylvania driver's license. He stated -- Mr. Roggio stated he was traveling with his girlfriend and stated that he had been together for nine months, that she worked for the subject as a personal assistant. He stated that he was traveling from Iraq, where he had worked for the past three months, and stated he resided in Sulaymaniyah, Iraq. And traveled back and forth with -- his last outbound trip to Iraq was the end of November of 2016.

Mr. Roggio indicated that he owned Roggio Consulting Company, which was a construction company. And that his company was overseeing the construction of three high-rise residential buildings in Sulaymaniyah, Iraq, in collaboration with Zarya Construction Company.

He stated he was working as a consultant for the project and advised on building construction from the foundation to the metal structure development and everything in between. He stated that he had around 40 employees from all over the world working for him. And stated Zarya paid him nine million dollars for the project.

Mr. Roggio stated that after Thanksgiving of 2016 he returned to Iraq to continue his project. And he was planning on traveling back to the United States right before Christmas of 2016.

He said he purchased his ticket and proceeded to Sulaymaniyah Airport in December, and that while at the airport he was approached by a security guard who ordered him to go with him. Mr. Roggio stated while walking with the security guard he was approached by another man who threw a scarf over his head and told him he was going to be kidnapped.

The subject stated he was taken to an unknown location and was held there until February 24 of 2017, stating that the kidnappers took his passport. He stated he was scared and he thought he was going to die. He requested the reason why he was treated like that. And he stated that he was informed that Kadir Hayman representing Zarya Company was holding him against his will because Kadir considered -- excuse me -- Zarya overpaid for the construction project and they wanted their money back.

Mr. Roggio stated that Zarya claimed they had paid him 12 million dollars instead of nine, so they requested back the money. Mr. Roggio stated he was forced to transfer money from his account into the kidnappers' account little by little. He transferred back up to \$200,000 into the kidnappers account.

Mr. Roggio stated he was able to escape by jumping through a window at two o'clock in the morning. And he was helped by his friend Hiwy. No contact information was provided by Mr. Roggio, who Mr. Roggio paid \$250 to drive him to the American Embassy.

18I

Mr. Roggio stated no one knew of his kidnapping, and that he had a protocol of his ex-wife Kristy Roggio who resides in the United States, that he was supposed to call her every day. Mr. Roggio indicated he instructed Kristy if she doesn't hear from him in more than three days she was to alert authorities about him possibly being kidnapped or missing.

He stated his ex-girlfriend alerted the authorities about his absence. It goes on a few more sentences. However, that was the meat of what the interview was. Mr. Roggio indicating that he was apparently kidnapped in Iraq and escaped through a window before issued an emergency passport by the government.

I would suggest to the Court that it would be a really bad idea to give Mr. Roggio the ability to travel internationally when he has assets that the government is unaware of, where he went, when he has several million dollars transferred by an Iraqi company to him, who for all we know could have additional assets they could transport, transfer to him after court today, a gentleman who indicates he had been kidnapped in Iraq and escaped to government authorities under very peculiar circumstances. A gentleman who is facing a very long time if convicted of crimes for which he's been indicted. For all these reasons we just do not feel that the Court should modify the conditions.

And again, he may have special talents. He can do

that here. He does not have to travel internationally to do that, nor for this company which apparently deals in this very type of statutes for which he is indicted.

THE COURT: Mr. Roggio is the subject of a 37-count indictment. And I'm undoubtedly catching you cold in asking you this question, but do you have any estimate of the amount of prison time Mr. Roggio is facing?

MR. HINKLEY: (No response.)

**I** 

but --

THE COURT: And we all understand it's significant,

MR. HINKLEY: I had in fact added that up at one point I think for the defense initial appearance. As I recall, it was a couple of life times at least if convicted of all the crimes for which he is charged.

THE COURT: Thank you.

I've looked at the indictment Mr. Roggio to understand what you're charged with. I've read it carefully. As I indicated, there are 37 counts. You are charged with violating the Arms Export Control Act and other statutes, which I will not labor the record with, and you're charged with conspiracy to commit an offense against the United States, essentially being someone who, together with others, trafficked in arms and engaged in arms sales without ever possessing a license or any approval from the DDT, which for the record is the Directorate of Defense Trade Controls, without ever getting

10I

their approval to export defense articles or defense services, as you are accused of doing, as you are accused of doing specifically to Iraq and Iraq foreign nationals.

The indictment is lengthy. Repeatedly you are charged with exporting what can accurately be called weapons of war. There's no question that -- and for both gas rings and firing pin retainers are integral aspects of weaponry. And the indictment, again, is replete with accusations that you have engaged in the sale of these and other articles in violation of the United States Federal Law that I've just made reference to. And there are specifics, many specifics.

Under paragraph 23, in support of the overt acts you are alleged to have taken in furtherance of this conspiracy, there are several -- for example, on or about November 14, 2015 Ross Roggio e-mailed a weapons and ammunition feasibility report with respect to a firearms manufacturing project in the Kurdistan region of Iraq.

On or about March 9, 2016, Ross Roggio, d/b/a Roggio Consulting Company, prepared a budget increase spreadsheet affixing cost to various aspects of a firearms manufacturing project in the Kurdistan region of Iraq.

On or about March 28, 2016, Ross Roggio purchased 15,000 M4 Bolt Gas Rings and 3200 Firing Pin Retainers from OML Global, which was located in Fayetteville, North Carolina.

On or about April 6, 2016, Ross Roggio e-mailed an

individual at a company that provided metal injection molding, metal powder production and metal powder metallurgy, and wrote, quote, Let's move on this, exclamation point end quote, in response to inquiries from the individual regarding that company fabricating molds to produce gun parts by metal injection molding.

On or about September 26, 2016, Ross Roggio e-mailed test videos of firearms manufactured by Ross Roggio in the Kurdistan region of Iraq to an Iraqi National for whom Ross Roggio was providing defense services and manufacturing firearms incorporating U.S. origin defense articles.

On or about February 8, 2015, \$1,329,608.65 was deposited into PNC Bank, account number with the last four digits being 6274 held by Roggio Consulting Company, LLC, via international wire from the Kurdistan International Bank located in Arbil, Iraq.

Again, Count 2 is an Arms Control Act violation.

These are lengthy. I will not read them all.

Count 3 is a violation of the International Emergency
Powers Act. Count 4 is an Arms Control Act Violation. Count 5
is a smuggling goods from the United States count. Count 6 is
also a smuggling goods from the United States offense.

Counts 7 through 9 are wire fraud. And the details of the wire fraud are listed in rather significant detail.

Count 10 through 28 are money laundering counts. The amounts

17 l

that are alleged to have been so laundered are -- they range from a low of 24,000 to a high of \$1,329,000 and various amounts in between. And there are 28 various amounts alleged to have been money-laundered by you or your company. Counts 29 through 37 likewise are money-laundering counts with significant amounts involved again in the various specific dollar amounts that are set forth in the indictment in this section.

So, the question before me, Mr. Roggio, is why would I let someone who is charged with 37 counts of arms export violations and money laundering violations that specifically relate to weaponry going, according to the terms of the indictment, to an extremely volatile area of the globe, why would I let someone who is charged with 37 counts of this kind of misconduct leave the United States to engage in at this point some vague description of activity for a company which itself is in the military equipment sales business? Why would I do that? I'm going to answer my own question. I wouldn't; I wouldn't. Yes, there's a risk of flight here. Mr. Hinkley's estimate rings true.

If you were convicted of each of these counts the term of imprisonment would be exceedingly lengthy. I have no doubt that you love your parents and love your children, but I don't know the kind of man you are. I know nothing about you, other than you are charged with a variety of very significant

offenses carrying serious penalties in each case.

17 l

18I

And in your mind, whether you'd be willing to give up your contact with your parents and contact with your children to avoid spending the rest of your life in prison, assuming, assuming of course, it's only an assumption, that you were convicted, is something that I can't discern, but it's certainly a possibility.

But in addition to that, there's the issue of whether I have an obligation to make sure that you don't engage in arms trafficking again through this company which ostensibly has some benevolent activities it undertakes, but which clearly by its own letterhead is engaged in the sale of military equipment.

So, I will be perfectly straight out with you. I cannot see a set of circumstances where I would allow you to leave the country to engage in employment of this company. In fact, I'm not sure there are a set of circumstances that I could envision that would allow me to let you leave the country for any reason.

But right now, what I'm faced with and what I'm ruling on, only what I'm ruling on, is your request to be allowed to go to work for a company that's in the military equipment business outside of the United States, and I can't do that and I won't do that. Is there anything else?

MR. HINKLEY: No, your Honor. Thank you.

```
20
              THE COURT: Anything else?
 1
 2
              MS. GHILARDI: No, your Honor.
 3
                     (11:45 a.m., court adjourned.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

21 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 1 2 3 I, DIANA L. GILBRIDE, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Middle District of 4 5 Pennsylvania, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the 7 foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the within-mentioned proceedings had in the above-mentioned and 9 numbered cause on the date or dates hereinbefore set forth; and I do further certify that the foregoing transcript has been 10 11 prepared by me or under my supervision. 12 13 14 /s/ Diana L. Gilbride Diana L. Gilbride, RMR, FCRR 15 Official Court Reporter 16 REPORTED BY: 17 DIANA L. GILBRIDE, RPR Official Court Reporter 18 United States District Court Middle District of Pennsylvania 19 P.O. Box G Scranton, PA 18501-0090 20 21 (The foregoing certificate of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under 22 the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.) 23 24 25